

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,284	MALONE, DAVID S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. Bochna	3679	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) David E. Bochna.

(3) _____.

 (2) William Hanlon, Jr..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A phone call was made to discuss changes to the claims in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Hanlon suggested changes, which were then entered through an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)